

Audit Brief — Be the Auditor

EVALUATE ONE CLAIM. SHOW YOUR WORK. STAY FAIR.

NAME

DATE

CLASS / GROUP

Pick one election claim to put under the microscope. Run it through all **five steps**, name the safeguard that applies, and end with the most likely explanation. Remember the rule: you're testing the **claim against the evidence** – not deciding who you want to be right. Apply the same standard you'd use for a claim you disagree with.

THE CLAIM I'M EVALUATING

WHERE DID I SEE IT? (SOURCE)

RUN THE FIVE STEPS

- 1

Find the primary record.
What official source (not the screenshot) would actually show the truth here?
- 2

Name the step.
Which real part of the process does the claim describe – registration, counting, custody, canvass, audit...?
- 3

Check the base rate.
Is this normal? What usually explains something like this (batch reporting, data mismatch, a rare-but-real case)?
- 4

Find the safeguard.
Which safeguard would catch this if it were real fraud? (paper record, reconciliation, audit, chain of custody, signature check...)
- 5

Is it settled?
Has this already been examined, audited, or ruled on? What did that find?

MOST LIKELY EXPLANATION (MY VERDICT)

FAIRNESS CHECK

SCORED ON THREE PILLARS

Accuracy
Right process, right safeguard, primary source named.

Method
All five steps present; reached the primary record.

Fairness
Same standard for all sides; debate vs. disproven told apart.